An algebraisation of synthesis problems in switching circuits

Günter Hotz

1965

The composition of switching circuits A, B, to new circuits can be reduced to two basic operations:

- 1. The sequential composition $A \circ B$ of A and B, if the number of outputs of B is equal to the number of inputs of A .
- 2. The composition of A and B to $A \times B$ analogue to the cartesian product. The number of inputs of $A \times B$ is equal to the sum of the numbers of the inputs of A and B. The same holds for the outputs.

Between different compositions an equivalence is introduced which is compatible with "∘" and " \times ". When the compositions are carried over to the set of the equivalence classes, one gets an algebraic structure, that forms a category respective to "∘" and a semi-group (monoid) respective to " \times ". The compositions satisfy the relation

$$
(A \times B) \circ (C \times D) = (A \circ C) \times (B \circ D)
$$

if $A \circ C$ and $B \circ D$ are defined. A category with this property is called an X-category.

The X-categories \mathfrak{F} studied here can be characterised in the following way:

- 1. The set of units of $\mathfrak F$ form a semi-group generated by one generator relative to " \times ",
- 2. \mathfrak{F} has a countable generator set or it holds
- 3. \mathfrak{F} is a subcategory of a category satisfying 1 and 2.

In a free X-category of this type holds the cancellation law relative to "◦" and "×" under a weak condition.

In the whole theory the X-category of "plane nets", a concept of the combinatorial topology related to the braids of Artin plays an important role. Each of the studied free X-categories \mathfrak{F} may be mapped on to an X-category of plane nets by a functor. Each theorem about $\mathfrak F$ is carried over by the functor to a theorem about a category of nets. The theorem proved for the

nets can be easily proved in most cases for \mathfrak{F} ; i.e. the theorems about \mathfrak{F} have an essential combinatorial character. This is the reason that the first chapter only deals about nets.

The connection of the switching circuits represented by the elements of \mathfrak{F} with their function gives a functor from \mathfrak{F} into the X-category \mathfrak{C}_S of the maps of the type $f: S^n \to S^m$, where S is a countable set containing at least two elements. The " \times "-product is in \mathfrak{C}_S the cartesian product.

0 Introduction

The motivation for this work is a problem of automata theory: From a given system of building blocks an automaton is to be assembled, whose function is specified. From the various possible solutions the cheapest is sought.

A building block $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a physical, generally electrical device with inputs $Q(A)$ and outputs $Z(A)$. For each input a particular set S of input signals is permitted, to which the building block reacts with output signals. We assume, to simplify the technical situation, that the following holds:

- 1. For each input of elements of $\mathfrak A$ the same signal set S is provided, and each element of $Sⁿ$ is allowed as an input signal for A with $n = Q(A)$.
- 2. The sets of output signals of $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ lie in S^m with $m = Z(A)$.
- 3. Given the input signal $s \in S^n$ at time t, then the output signal at time t caused by s is unambiguously specified. (Thus we ignore the finite speed of propagation of signals.)

The finite automaton is thus for us completely described by its function $\varphi(A): S^n \to$ S^m . In doing so it is assumed that the inputs and outputs of A are provided with a fixed enumeration with the numbers 1 to $Q(A)$ resp. 1 to $Z(A)$, and the *i*-th input resp. *i*-th output is associated to the *i*-th component of $Sⁿ$ resp. S^m .

An element of $\mathfrak A$ is a switching circuit. If A and B are switching circuits with $Q(A)$ resp. $Z(B)$ inputs and $Z(A)$ resp. $Z(B)$ outputs, then we build new switching circuits out of A and B, by combining A and B into an element $A \times B$ with $Q(A) + Q(B)$ inputs and $Z(A) + Z(B)$ outputs, and defining the *i*-th input of A to be the *i*-th input of $A \times B$ and the *i*-th input of B to be the $(Q(A) + i)$ -th input of $A \times B$ (fig. 1).

If $Z(A) = Q(B)$, then we obtain from A and B a switching circuit $B \circ A$, by connecting the *i*-th output of A onto the *i*-th input of B.

A switching circuit built from elements of $\mathfrak A$ is a device that will be described inductively using the previous definitions.

If $\varphi(A)$ resp. $\varphi(B)$ is the function of the switching circuit A resp. B, then $\varphi(A) \times \varphi(B)$ resp. $\varphi(B) \circ \varphi(A)$ for $Q(B) = Z(A)$ is the function of $A \times B$ resp. $B \circ A$.

Fig. 1

The costs of the building blocks of $\mathfrak A$ are given by the function $L : \mathfrak A \to \mathsf{N} \cup \{0\}$; we define:

$$
L(A \times B) = L(A) + L(B)
$$

$$
L(B \circ A) = L(A) + L(B)
$$

In this way every switching circuit will be assigned a "price".

The task now sounds like: One finds for a given $f: S^n \to S^m$ a switching circuit A with $\varphi(A) = f$ and

$$
L(A) = \min_{B \in \varphi^{-1}(f)} \{L(B)\}.
$$

If f is not defined on the whole of $Sⁿ$, but only on $R \subset Sⁿ$, then it is the optimum on $\vert \ \ \vert$ $\varphi^{-1}(g)$ that is sought.

 $g|R=f$ If $Q(f) = Z(f) = S^n$