

The lattice of signed measures

Notation If ν is a positive measure and f is ν -integrable, denote by ν_f the signed measure satisfying

$$\int g \, d\nu_p = \int gf \, d\nu$$

for all ν -integrable g .

We need ^a simple measure-theoretic fact:

Prop. $\nu_f > 0$ if and only if $f > 0$ ν -a.e.

Pf The reverse direction (\Leftarrow) is obvious, so let us prove the forward direction (\Rightarrow), or rather its contrapositive.

Suppose $f < 0$ on a non-null set. Then

$$0 < \nu \{ f < 0 \} = \nu \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ f < -\frac{1}{n} \} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu \{ f < -\frac{1}{n} \}$$

Datum: Date:

so there exists $\varepsilon := \gamma_n > 0$ such that
 $\nu\{f < -\varepsilon\} > 0.$

(conclude that)

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_f\{f < -\varepsilon\} &= \int_{f < -\varepsilon} f \, d\nu \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{f < -\varepsilon} d\nu \\ &< 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Cor For $f, g \in L^1(\nu) = L^1(\nu; \mathbb{R})$,

$$\nu_f \ll \nu_g \quad \text{iff} \quad f \leq g \quad \nu\text{-a.e.}$$

P.F. Apply the proposition to $\nu_{f-g} = \nu_f - \nu_g$. □

Let $M(\nu)$ denote the space of signed measures absolutely continuous w.r.t. ν . By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, the

$$\text{map} \quad L^1(\nu) \rightarrow M(\nu), \quad f \mapsto \nu_f$$

is a vector space isomorphism. If we recall that the total variation of a signed measure $\nu \ll \nu$ is defined (independently of ν , it turns out) by $|\nu| = \nu_{|f|}$ for $f \in L^1(\nu)$ satisfying $\nu = \nu_f$, then we can define the norm of ν to be

$$\|\nu\| := |\nu|(\mathcal{X}) = \int |f| \, d\nu = \|f\|_{L^1(\nu)}.$$

Thus the map $f \mapsto \nu_f$ exhibits an isomorphism of $L^1(\nu)$ and $M(\nu)$ as normed vector spaces and even as Banach spaces:

$$L^1(\nu) \cong M(\nu) \text{ in } \underline{\text{Ban}}.$$

All this is standard textbook material in real analysis.

What is equally obvious, but less commonly remarked on,

is that (as the corollary shows), the map $f \mapsto \nu_f$ makes $L^2(\omega)$ and $M(\omega)$ isomorphic as posets:

$$L^2(\omega) \cong M(\omega) \text{ in Pos.}$$

↑
pointwise order w.r.e. ↑ setwise order

Cor. $M(\omega)$ is a lattice, with meets and joins given by

$$\nu_f \wedge \nu_g = \nu_{f \wedge g}$$

$$\nu_f \vee \nu_g = \nu_{f \vee g}$$

Pf. $L^2(\omega)$ has meets and joins, given by

$$\begin{aligned} f \wedge g &= \min(f, g) \quad \text{pointwise} \\ f \vee g &= \max(f, g) \end{aligned}$$

□

In fact, the lattice structure extends to the space of all signed measures. Let $M(X)$ denote the space of signed measures on a measurable space X , so that for any positive measure ν on X , $M(\omega) \subseteq M(X)$.

Thm $M(X)$ is a lattice, with meets and joins given by

$$v \wedge p = \nu \frac{dv}{d\nu} \wedge \frac{dp}{d\nu}, \quad v \vee p = \nu \frac{dv}{d\nu} \vee \frac{dp}{d\nu},$$

where ν is any positive measure dominating v and p .

Pf. First, note that such a measure ν always exists; simply take $\nu = |v| + |p|$. We must also show that the RHSs are well-defined. Suppose we have two positive measures ν_1, ν_2 with $v, p \ll \nu_1, \nu_2$.

Put $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, and calculate

$$\left(\frac{dv}{d\nu_1} \wedge \frac{dp}{d\nu_1} \right) d\nu_1 \stackrel{\text{chain rule}}{=} \left(\frac{dv}{d\nu_1} \wedge \frac{dp}{d\nu_1} \right) \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu} d\nu$$

$$\stackrel{\text{positivity}}{=} \left(\frac{dv}{d\nu_1} \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu} \wedge \frac{dp}{d\nu_1} \frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu} \right) d\nu$$

$$\stackrel{\text{chain rule}}{=} \left(\frac{dv}{d\nu} \wedge \frac{dp}{d\nu} \right) d\nu.$$

Lemma Date:

This proves that the quantity defining meets is well-defined, and similarly for joins.

It remains to prove that the quantity actually is a meet.

Let $\sigma \in M(X)$ be a lower bound for v, p : $\sigma \leq v$ and $\sigma \leq p$.

Put $w = |v| + |p| + |\sigma|$, s.t. that $v, p, \sigma \in M(w)$. By what we have proved earlier, $v \wedge p$ as (well-)defined above is a meet (greatest lower bound) in $M(w)$, hence $\sigma \leq v \wedge p$.

Since $\sigma \in M(X)$ is arbitrary, $v \wedge p$ is also a meet in $M(X)$.

The argument for joins is dual. \square

We will use the lattice structures of signed measures, $M(X)$, and of positive measures, $M^+(X)$, to define a composition of subcoupling measures.

Remark One might naively try to define the meet of measures ~~via~~ v, p by

$$(v \wedge p)(A) := v(A) \wedge p(A).$$

However, the resulting "measure" need not even be additive, hence need not be a measure at all.