# Proof Theory of Partially Normal Skew Monoidal Categories

Tarmo Uustalu, Reykjavik U. <u>Niccolò Veltri</u>, Tallinn U. of Techn. Noam Zeilberger, LIX/École Polytechnique

Applied Category Theory, online talk, 09 July 2020

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

### Skew monoidal categories

 A skew monoidal category (Szlachányi'12) is a category C together with an object I, a functor ⊗ : C × C → C and nat. transfs. λ, ρ, α with components

$$\lambda_{A} : I \otimes A \to A$$
$$\rho_{A} : A \to A \otimes I$$
$$\alpha_{A,B,C} : (A \otimes B) \otimes C \to A \otimes (B \otimes C)$$

such that



◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

# Normality conditions, examples

- A skew monoidal category is
  - *left-normal* if  $\lambda$  is invertible,
  - right-normal if  $\rho$  is invertible,
  - associative-normal if  $\alpha$  is invertible.
- A monoidal category is a skew monoidal category satisfying all 3 normality conditions.
- Skew monoidal categories, possibly with different degree of normality, appear in the study of relative monads (Altenkirch et al.'15) and quantum categories (Street & Lack'12).
- (Altenkirch et al.'15) Given categories J and C and functor J : J → C. The functor category [J, C] has a skew monoidal structure:

$$I = J, \qquad F \otimes G = Lan_J F \cdot G$$

# Our contributions

- In previous work (MFPS'18), we presented a sequent calculus for skew monoidal categories.
- The sequent calculus is a presentation of the free skew monoidal category on a set of generating objects.
- It enjoys cut elimination and admits a focused subsystem of canonical derivations.
- In this work, we develop sequent calculi for partially normal skew monoidal categories.
- We prove cut elimination and we show that the calculi admit focusing.
- The result is a family of sequent calculi between those of skew monoidal categories and (fully normal) monoidal categories.
- These define 8 weakenings of the (I, ⊗) fragment of intuitionistic non-commutative linear logic.

# The sequent calculus of skew monoidal categories (MFPS'18)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Skew monoidal sequent calculus

- Formulae over a set At of atoms:  $A, B ::= X | I | A \otimes B$
- Sequents are triples  $S | \Gamma \longrightarrow C$  where
  - S (stoup) is an optional formula,
  - Γ (context) is a list of formulae,
  - C is a single formula.
- Derivations are constructed with these inference rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow C \\ \hline -\mid A, \Gamma \longrightarrow C \end{array} \text{ pass } & \hline A \mid \longrightarrow A \end{array} \text{ ax} \\ \hline \frac{-\mid \Gamma \longrightarrow C}{\mid \Gamma \longrightarrow C} \quad \text{IL} & \hline -\mid \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \text{ IR} \\ \hline \frac{A \mid B, \Gamma \longrightarrow C}{A \otimes B \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow C} \otimes \text{L} & \frac{S \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad -\mid \Delta \longrightarrow B}{S \mid \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes \text{R} \end{array}$$

IL, ⊗L only apply to the formula of the stoup, if it is not empty.
 ⊗R sends the stoup formula, if present, to the 1st premise.

## What makes this work: unitors

A derivation corresponding to the unitor λ:

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid \longrightarrow A}}{ \frac{-\mid A \longrightarrow A}{\mid \mid A \longrightarrow A}} \underset{| \otimes A \mid \longrightarrow A}{\text{ax}} \underset{| \otimes A \mid \longrightarrow A}{\text{ax}} \underset{| \otimes L}{\text{ax}}$$

• There is no derivation corresponding to  $\lambda^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{X \stackrel{??}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{?}{\longrightarrow} X}{X \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} X \otimes X} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

A derivation corresponding to the unitor ρ:

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid \longrightarrow A} \quad \text{ax} \quad \overline{- \mid \longrightarrow I} \quad \text{IR}}{A \mid \longrightarrow A \otimes I} \quad \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

• There is no derivation corresponding to  $\rho^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{X \mid I \longrightarrow X}{X \otimes I \mid \longrightarrow X} \otimes L$$

ション 小田 マイビット ビックタン

# What makes this work: associator

• A derivation corresponding to the associator  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid \longrightarrow A} \text{ ax} \frac{\overline{B \mid \longrightarrow B} \text{ ax} \frac{\overline{C \mid \longrightarrow C}}{- \mid C \longrightarrow C} \text{ pass}}{B \mid C \longrightarrow B \otimes C} \text{ pass}}{A \mid B, C \longrightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)} \otimes R$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid B, C \longrightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)}}{A \otimes B \mid C \longrightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)} \otimes L$$

• There is no derivation corresponding to  $\alpha^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{X \mid \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow X \otimes Y - | \xrightarrow{??} Z}{X \mid \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z} \otimes \mathbb{R} \qquad \frac{X \mid \xrightarrow{??} X \otimes Y - | \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow Z}{X \mid \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

$$\frac{X \mid \xrightarrow{??} X \otimes Y - | \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow Z}{X \mid \underline{Y \otimes Z} \longrightarrow (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

# Equivalence of derivations

 $(\eta$ -conversions)

$$\frac{\overline{||} \longrightarrow I}{||} \xrightarrow{ax} = \frac{\overline{-|I|} IR}{\overline{||} \longrightarrow I} IL$$

$$\frac{\overline{B|} \longrightarrow B}{\overline{A|} \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \xrightarrow{ax} = \frac{\overline{A|} \longrightarrow A}{\overline{A|} \xrightarrow{B} A \otimes B} \xrightarrow{ax} \otimes R}{\frac{\overline{A|} \longrightarrow A \otimes B}{\overline{A \otimes B|} \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes L} \xrightarrow{BR}$$

÷

÷

≜

(commutative conversions)

Ā

$$\frac{A' \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A}{- \mid A', \Gamma \longrightarrow A} \text{ pass } - \mid \Delta \longrightarrow B \\ - \mid A', \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B \otimes R$$

$$\frac{-|\Gamma \longrightarrow A}{|\Gamma \longrightarrow A} \stackrel{|L}{\longrightarrow} -|\Delta \longrightarrow B}{|\Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

$$\frac{A' \mid B', \Gamma \longrightarrow A}{A' \otimes B' \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A} \overset{\otimes L}{\longrightarrow} - \mid \Delta \longrightarrow B \\ A' \otimes B' \mid \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B \qquad \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

$$\frac{A' \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad - \mid \Delta \longrightarrow B}{A' \mid \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes R$$

$$\frac{A' \mid \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B}{- \mid A', \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} pass$$

$$\frac{-|\Gamma \longrightarrow A - |\Delta \longrightarrow B}{\frac{-|\Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B}{|\Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{L}} \otimes \mathsf{R}$$

$$\frac{A' \mid B', \Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad - \mid \Delta \longrightarrow B}{A' \mid B', \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes \mathsf{R}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = -のへ⊙

## Results

• Theorem: Two forms of *cut* are admissible:

$$\frac{S \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad A \mid \Delta \longrightarrow C}{S \mid \Gamma, \Delta \longrightarrow C} \text{ scut } \frac{- \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow A \quad S \mid \Delta_0, A, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow C}{S \mid \Delta_0, \Gamma, \Delta_1 \longrightarrow C} \text{ ccut}$$

- Theorem: The sequent calculus, with derivations quotiented by the equivalence relation <sup>≗</sup>, is a presentation of the *free* skew monoidal category on At.
- Theorem: We have an equivalent focused sequent calculus, in which derivations are canonical representative of ≜-equiv. classes. There is a bijection between
  - derivations of  $S \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow_{L} C$  in the focused sequent calculus
  - derivations of  $S | \Gamma \longrightarrow C$  in the sequent calculus (up to  $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ )

# The sequent calculus of partially normal skew monoidal categories (ACT'20)

# Left-normal sequent calculus

• Skew monoidal sequent calculus with an extra rule:

$$\frac{-\mid \longrightarrow A \quad A' \mid \Delta \longrightarrow B}{A' \mid \Delta \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \ \otimes \mathsf{R}_2$$

+ some generating equations in ≗.

• A derivation corresponding to  $\lambda^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{-| \longrightarrow I}{A| \longrightarrow I \otimes A} \stackrel{\text{IR}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{A| \longrightarrow A} \underset{\otimes R_2}{\text{ax}}$$

The rule pass is invertible up to ≗:

$$\frac{-\mid A, \Gamma \longrightarrow C}{A \mid \Gamma \longrightarrow C} \text{ act}$$

 This implies that the left-normal sequent calculus admits an equivalent stoup-free presentation.

## Right-normal sequent calculus

• Skew monoidal sequent calculus with 2 extra rules:

$$\frac{S \mid \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C}{S \mid \Gamma_0, I, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C} \quad \mathsf{IC} \quad \frac{S \mid \Gamma_0, J, J', \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C}{S \mid \Gamma_0, J \otimes J', \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C} \; \otimes \mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{c}}$$

+ many generating equations in å.

- J and J' stand for closed formulae, i.e. made of I and  $\otimes$  only.
- A derivation corresponding to ρ<sup>-1</sup>:

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid \longrightarrow A}}{A \mid I \longrightarrow A} \stackrel{\text{ax}}{\text{IC}} \\ \frac{\overline{A \mid A \longrightarrow A}}{A \otimes I \mid \longrightarrow A} \otimes L$$

 The rule 
 <sup>OCC</sup> is needed, since it is important to allow deletion in the context of any closed formula, not just I.

$$X \otimes (I \otimes I) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \lambda} X \otimes I \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} X \qquad \frac{X \longrightarrow X}{X | I \longrightarrow X} \text{ IC} \\ \frac{X | I \longrightarrow X}{X | I \longrightarrow X} \text{ IC} \\ \frac{X | I \longrightarrow X}{X | I \otimes I \longrightarrow X} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{C} \\ \frac{X | I \otimes I \longrightarrow X}{X \otimes (I \otimes I) | \longrightarrow X} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{C}$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

 $\frac{1}{1}$  ax

### Associative-normal sequent calculus

• Skew monoidal sequent calculus with an extra rule:

$$\frac{S \mid \Gamma_0, A, B, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C}{S \mid \Gamma_0, A \otimes B, \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow C} \; \otimes C$$

+ many generating equations in ≗.

• A derivation corresponding to  $\alpha^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid \longrightarrow A} \text{ ax } \frac{\overline{B \mid \longrightarrow B}}{-|B \longrightarrow B} \text{ pass}}{A \mid B \longrightarrow A \otimes B} \otimes \mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{\overline{C \mid \longrightarrow C}}{-|C \longrightarrow C}}{-|C \longrightarrow C} \text{ pass}}{\Theta \mathbb{R}}$$

$$\frac{\overline{A \mid B \longrightarrow A \otimes B}}{A \mid B, C \longrightarrow (A \otimes B) \otimes C} \otimes \mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

# Results

• **Theorem:** For all these sequent calculi, cut is admissible. Moreover, each calculus admits focusing (inspired by Chauduri & Pfenning'05 in the right-normal case and in assoc.-normal case).

 Theorem: Each partially normal sequent calculus, with derivations quotiented by its equivalence relation ≜, is a presentation of the *free* partially normal (with the same degree of partiality) skew monoidal category on At.

# Formalization, future work

• Full formalization in the Agda proof assistant:

https://github.com/niccoloveltri/skewmoncats-normal

- We plan to extend our story to:
  - Skew closed categories (Street'13):

 $j_A: I \to A \multimap A \qquad i_A: I \multimap A \to A \qquad L_{A,B,C}: B \multimap C \to (A \multimap B) \multimap (A \multimap C)$ 

- Skew monoidal closed categories (⊗ and −∞)
- Skew closed prounital categories (no I, but maps may have no source)
- Braided/Symmetric skew monoidal categories (Bourke & Lack'20)

$$s_{A,B}: (A \otimes B) \otimes C \to (A \otimes C) \otimes B$$
  $\frac{S | \Gamma, B, A, \Delta \longrightarrow C}{S | \Gamma, A, B, \Delta \longrightarrow C}$  exch

Extra: Free skew monoidal category as deductive system

- The free skew monoidal category over a set At can be viewed as a deductive system (following Lambek's tradition).
   We call it the *categorical calculus*.
- Objects are formulae over At:  $A, B ::= X | I | A \otimes B$
- Maps are equivalence classes of derivations of sequents A ⇒ C where both A, C are single formulae.
- Derivations are constructed with these inference rules:

$$\overline{A \Longrightarrow A} \quad \text{id} \quad \frac{A \Longrightarrow B \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{A \Longrightarrow C} \quad \text{comp}$$

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow C \quad B \Longrightarrow D}{A \otimes B \Longrightarrow C \otimes D} \otimes$$

$$\overline{\otimes A \Longrightarrow A} \quad \lambda \quad \overline{A \Longrightarrow A \otimes I} \quad \rho \quad \overline{(A \otimes B) \otimes C \Longrightarrow A \otimes (B \otimes C)} \quad \alpha$$

ション 小田 マイビット ビックタン

Extra: Free skew monoidal category ctd.

Equivalence of derivations is the congruence = induced by the equations

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\text{category laws}) & \text{id} \circ f \doteq f & f \doteq f \circ \text{id} & (f \circ g) \circ h \doteq f \circ (g \circ h) \\ (\otimes \text{ functorial}) & \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \doteq \text{id} & (h \circ f) \otimes (k \circ g) \doteq h \otimes k \circ f \otimes g \\ \lambda \circ \text{id} \otimes f \doteq f \circ \lambda \\ \rho \circ f \doteq f \otimes \text{id} \circ \rho \\ \alpha \circ (f \otimes g) \otimes h \doteq f \otimes (g \otimes h) \circ \alpha \\ \lambda \circ \rho \doteq \text{id} & \text{id} \doteq \text{id} \otimes \lambda \circ \alpha \circ \rho \otimes \text{id} \\ (\text{m1-m5}) & \lambda \circ \alpha \doteq \lambda \otimes \text{id} & \alpha \circ \rho \doteq \text{id} \otimes \rho \\ \end{array}$ 

▲ロト ▲ 同 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Extra: Skew seq. calc. vs. free skew mon. cat.

Define

$$\llbracket - \llbracket - \rrbracket = I \qquad \llbracket A \llbracket A \rrbracket = A$$
$$A \llbracket A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \rrbracket = (\dots (A \otimes A_1) \otimes A_2) \dots) \otimes A_n$$

- Soundness: For all f : S | Γ → C, we can define sound(f) : [[S( (Γ]) ⇒ C.
- Completeness: For all *f* : [[S( (Γ]) ⇒ C, we can define cmplt(*f*) : S | Γ → C.
   (The scut rule interprets composition in the categorical calculus.)
- Also equational soundness and completeness.
- There is a bijection between
  - derivations of  $[S \langle \langle \Gamma ]] \Longrightarrow C$  in the categorical calculus (up to  $\doteq$ ).
  - derivations of  $S | \Gamma \longrightarrow_{L} C$  in the focused sequent calculus
  - derivations of  $S | \Gamma \longrightarrow C$  in the sequent calculus (up to  $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ )